UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,191	06/30/2003	Kestutis Patiejunas	MFCP.103654	8776	
	7590 09/19/200 OY & BACON L.L.P.	8	EXAM	INER	
(c/o MICROSO	FT CORPORATION)		OSMAN, RAMY M		
2555 GRAND I	=	PERTY DEPARTMENT VARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER	
KANSAS CITY	Z, MO 64108-2613		2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/608,191	PATIEJUNAS, KESTUTIS Art Unit	ESTUTIS		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RAMY M. OSMAN	2157			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>RAMY M. OSMAN</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Monplaisir Hamilton (54851)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2008</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	t)⊠ applicant's representative	:]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,9 and 18</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Ghose (Pat No 7305486)</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	//A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Attorney Hamilton clarified proposed amendments</u> . <u>Agreement was reached regarding agreement was not reached regarding overcoming the 102 response commensurate with what was discussed in the interval (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.</u>	d Applicants invention to the Exposers of the Invertion to the Exposers of the Invertion and 101 prior art rejection. Applicant has terview. The prior art rejection applicant has the examiner agony of the amendments that we have a supply of the amendment and the supply of the su	Examiner and dis rejections. Howe as agreed to sub- reed would rende	scussed ever, omit a er the claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		